

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → [+]

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM <i>(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)</i>		Application Number	09/159,404
		Filing Date	September 24, 1998
		First Named Inventor	Curtis T. Combar et al.
		Group Art Unit	2161
		Examiner Name	J. Hayes
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	5	Attorney Docket Number	742435-23

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Assignment Papers (for an Application) <input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Declaration and Power of Attorney <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input type="checkbox"/> Application Data Sheet <input type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): <div style="text-align: center;">RECEIVED APR 17 2003 GROUP 3600</div>
Remarks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees required or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 19-2380 (742435-23) for the above identified docket number.	

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT	
Firm or Individual name	Jason H. Vick, Reg. No. 45,285 Nixon Peabody LLP 8180 Greensboro Drive Suite 800 McLean, VA 22102
Signature	
Date	April 16, 2003

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on this date: 			
Type or printed name			
Signature		Date	

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

32-03
423
mel

WorldCom Docket No. COS 98 044
NP Docket No. 742435-23



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:)	Group Art Unit: 2161
Curtis T. Combar et al.)	Examiner: J. Hayes
Serial No. 09/159,404)	
Filed: September 24, 1998)	
For: Integrated Proxy Interface For Web Based)	
Data Management Reports)	

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

RECEIVED
APR 17 2003
GROUP 3600

In response to the Office Action dated March 11, 2003, reconsideration in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested. Claims 1-19 are pending.

Applicant would like to thank Examiner Hayes for the courtesies extended to Applicants' representative, Mr. Jason Vick, during the recent telephone interviews.

The Office Action objects to the specification for a number of informalities. In relation to these informalities, Applicant is currently reviewing the best approach to have this subject matter included in the specification. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that this objection be held in abeyance until the indication of allowable subject matter.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-4, 7-17 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over Chang in view of O'Reilly. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 recites, *inter alia*, said request message comprising a metadata description of particular reporting items to be retrieved, said metadata description of particular reporting items being verified and forwarded to said retrieval device, and said retrieving device obtaining customer specific data in accordance with the metadata request, whereby said customer-specific retrieved data and said metadata

description of said reporting items are communicated to said client workstation and utilized to generate a completed report for presentation to said customer, the completed report capable of being dynamically determined based on the metadata and one or more of customization options and user options.

Applicant respectfully submits that Chang at least fails to teach, suggest or disclose these features. Specifically, as discussed during the recent telephone interview, while Chang discloses on column 22, lines 47-48 that "the Internet-Web Link system also offers subscribers options to receive usage reports via E-mail or as File Transfer Protocol (FTP) type file transfers," Applicant respectfully submits that Chang does not dynamically determine the report based on the metadata and one or more customization options and user options as claimed. In contrast, Applicant respectfully submits that in relation to column 22, lines 33-59 (reproduced below), that in response to an HTTP message requesting a report, the WSMS 255 compiles the requested report data and forwards the report to a proxy server 523. Thus, even if for the sake of argument the ability of Chang to receive e-mail or File Transfer Protocol type transfers is equated to customization options as claimed, Applicants respectfully submit this information is clearly not used for the report determination as recited in claim 1.

Through a similar procedure, the subscriber can access various reports regarding usage of AIN services. In response to an HTTP message requesting such a report, the application server 527 queries the WSMS 255 through the OSN 21 and the TNS 253. From its database 258 and/or by querying the reporting systems (DD and DRS) 244, 246 of the ISCP site 241 serving the subscriber, the WSMS 255 compiles the requested report data. The WSMS 255 transmits the report data through the OSN 21 and the TNS 253 to the application server 527. The application server in turn formats the report as one or more HTML web pages and forwards the pages to the proxy server 523. The proxy server communicates via the router 510 and the Internet 27, to supply the web pages of the report to the user's terminal device 29 for storage and/or display. The Internet-Web

Link system also offers subscribers options to receive usage reports via E-mail or as File Transfer Protocol (FTP) type file transfers.

In the call blocking example, the subscriber at premiss 31.sub.A might use the web link access technique to obtain a report of how many calls to her number were blocked during the latest active call blocking period and possibly the telephone numbers of the calling parties.

These first operational examples described above related to AIN services facilitated through the ISCP sites 241. The secure access platform 25 facilitates similar access to the switch management systems 231.

O'Reilly fails to overcome at least the deficiencies noted above in relation to Chang. Accordingly, the cited references, either alone or in combination, fail to teach, suggest or disclose each and every feature of claims 1 and 12. Furthermore, the claims depending from claims 1 and 12 are also not obvious in view of the cited references for at least the reasons outlined above and the additional feature(s) they recite. Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-4, 7-17 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) is respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejects claims 5-6 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over Chang and O'Reilly and further in view of Sharples. This rejection is respectfully traversed.


Applicant respectfully submits that Sharples at least fails to overcome the deficiencies noted above in relation to Chang and O'Reilly. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the cited references, either alone or in combination, fail to teach, suggest or disclose each and every feature of the claims. Accordingly, the cited references fail to render obvious claims 5-6 and 18. Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 5-6 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) is respectfully requested.

Applicant respectfully submits that the application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance are respectfully

requested.

Should the Examiner believe anything further is desirable in order to place the application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is encouraged to contact Applicant's undersigned representative at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,



Jason H. Vick
Registration No. 45,285

JHV:adc

WorldCom Docket No.: COS 98 044

NIXON PEABODY LLP
8180 Greensboro Drive, Suite 800
McLean, VA 22102
(703) 770-9300